CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5895

Chapter 35, Laws of 2012

62nd Legislature 2012 Regular Session

CERTIFICATED EDUCATION EMPLOYEES -- EVALUATION

EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/07/12

Passed by the Senate February 14, 2012 CERTIFICATE YEAS 46 NAYS 3 I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of BRAD OWEN Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5895** as President of the Senate passed by the Senate and the House Passed by the House February 29, 2012 of Representatives on the dates YEAS 82 NAYS 16 hereon set forth. FRANK CHOPP THOMAS HOEMANN Speaker of the House of Representatives Secretary Approved March 8, 2012, 12:14 p.m. FILED March 8, 2012

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5895

Passed Legislature - 2012 Regular Session

State of Washington

62nd Legislature

2012 Regular Session

By Senate Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senator Murray)
READ FIRST TIME 02/07/12.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to evaluating certificated employees; amending RCW
- 2 28A.405.100, 28A.405.120, 28A.405.130, 28A.415.023, and 28A.405.220;
- adding a new section to chapter 28A.410 RCW; and adding a new section
- 4 to chapter 28A.405 RCW.

8

9

1112

13

14

15

16

17

- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 **Sec. 1.** RCW 28A.405.100 and 2010 c 235 s 202 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1)(a) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, the superintendent of public instruction shall establish and may amend from time to time minimum criteria for the evaluation of the professional performance capabilities and development of certificated classroom teachers and certificated support personnel. For classroom teachers the criteria shall be developed in the following categories: Instructional skill; classroom management, professional preparation and scholarship; effort toward improvement when needed; the handling of student discipline and attendant problems; and interest in teaching
- 18 (b) Every board of directors shall, in accordance with procedure provided in RCW 41.59.010 through 41.59.170, 41.59.910, and 41.59.920,

pupils and knowledge of subject matter.

establish evaluative criteria and procedures for all certificated classroom teachers and certificated support personnel. The evaluative criteria must contain as a minimum the criteria established by the superintendent of public instruction pursuant to this section and must be prepared within six months following adoption of the superintendent of public instruction's minimum criteria. The district must certify to the superintendent of public instruction that evaluative criteria have been so prepared by the district.

- (2)(a) Pursuant to the implementation schedule established in subsection $(7)((\frac{b}{b}))$ (c) of this section, every board of directors shall, in accordance with procedures provided in RCW 41.59.010 through 41.59.170, 41.59.910, and 41.59.920, establish revised evaluative criteria and a four-level rating system for all certificated classroom teachers.
- (b) The minimum criteria shall include: (i) Centering instruction on high expectations for student achievement; (ii) demonstrating effective teaching practices; (iii) recognizing individual student learning needs and developing strategies to address those needs; (iv) providing clear and intentional focus on subject matter content and curriculum; (v) fostering and managing a safe, positive learning environment; (vi) using multiple student data elements to modify instruction and improve student learning; (vii) communicating and collaborating with parents and (([the])) the school community; and (viii) exhibiting collaborative and collegial practices focused on improving instructional practice and student learning. Student growth data _must_be_a_substantial_factor_in_evaluating_the_summative performance of certificated classroom teachers for at least three of the evaluation criteria listed in this subsection.
- (c) The four-level rating system used to evaluate the certificated classroom teacher must describe performance along a continuum that indicates the extent to which the criteria have been met or exceeded.

 ((When)) The summative performance ratings shall be as follows: Level 1 unsatisfactory; level 2 basic; level 3 proficient; and level 4 distinguished. A classroom teacher shall receive one of the four summative performance ratings for each of the minimum criteria in (b) of this subsection and one of the four summative performance ratings for the evaluation as a whole, which shall be the comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating. By December 1, 2012, the

superintendent of public instruction must adopt rules prescribing a common method for calculating the comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating for each of the preferred instructional frameworks, including for a focused evaluation under subsection (12) of this section, giving appropriate weight to the indicators evaluated under each criteria and maximizing rater agreement among the frameworks.

- (d) By December 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction shall adopt rules that provide descriptors for each of the summative performance ratings, based on the development work of pilot school districts under subsection (7) of this section. Any subsequent changes to the descriptors by the superintendent may only be made following consultation with a group broadly reflective of the parties represented in subsection (7)(a) of this section.
- (e) By September 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction shall identify up to three preferred instructional frameworks that support the revised evaluation system. The instructional frameworks shall be research-based and establish definitions or rubrics for each of the four summative performance ratings for each evaluation criteria. Each school district must adopt one of the preferred instructional frameworks and post the selection on the district's web site. The superintendent of public instruction shall establish a process for approving minor modifications or adaptations to a preferred instructional framework that may be proposed by a school district.
- (f) Student growth data((, if available and)) that is relevant to the teacher and subject matter((, is referenced)) must be a factor in the evaluation process ((it)) and must be based on multiple measures that can include classroom-based, school-based, district-based, and state-based tools. Student growth data elements may include the teacher's performance as a member of a grade-level, subject matter, or other instructional team within a school when the use of this data is relevant and appropriate. Student growth data elements may also include the teacher's performance as a member of the overall instructional team of a school when use of this data is relevant and appropriate. As used in this subsection, "student growth" means the change in student achievement between two points in time.
 - (q) Student input may also be included in the evaluation process.
- 37 (3)(a) Except as provided in subsection $((\frac{10}{10}))$ (11) of this section, it shall be the responsibility of a principal or his or her

- designee to evaluate all certificated personnel in his or her school. 1
- 2 During each school year all classroom teachers and certificated support
- personnel shall be observed for the purposes of evaluation at least 3
- twice in the performance of their assigned duties. Total observation 4
- time for each employee for each school year shall be not less than 5
- sixty minutes. An employee in the third year of provisional status as 6
- defined in RCW 28A.405.220 shall be observed at least three times in 7
- the performance of his or her duties and the total observation time for 8
- the school year shall not be less than ninety minutes. Following each
- observation, or series of observations, the principal or other 10
- evaluator shall promptly document the results of the observation in 11
- 12 writing, and shall provide the employee with a copy thereof within
- 13 three days after such report is prepared. New employees shall be
- observed at least once for a total observation time of thirty minutes 14
- during the first ninety calendar days of their employment period. 15
 - (b) As used in this subsection and subsection (4) of this section, "employees" means classroom teachers and certificated support personnel except where otherwise specified.
 - (4)(a) At any time after October 15th, an employee whose work is not judged satisfactory based on district evaluation criteria shall be notified in writing of the specific areas of deficiencies along with a reasonable program for improvement. For classroom teachers who have been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this __section, __the __following __comprehensive __summative __evaluation performance ratings based on the evaluation criteria in subsection (2)(b) of this section mean a classroom teacher's work is not judged satisfactory:
 - (i) Level 1; or

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

- (ii) Level 2 if the classroom teacher is a continuing contract employee under RCW 28A.405.210 with more than five years of teaching <u>experience and if the level 2 comprehensive summative evaluation</u> performance rating has been received for two consecutive years or for two years within a consecutive three-year time period.
 - (b) During the period of probation, the employee may not be transferred from the supervision of the original evaluator. Improvement of performance or probable cause for nonrenewal must occur and be documented by the original evaluator before any consideration of

a request for transfer or reassignment as contemplated by either the 1 2 individual or the school district. A probationary period of sixty 3 school days shall be established. <u>Days may be added if deemed</u> necessary to complete a program for improvement and evaluate the 4 probationer's performance, as long as the probationary period is 5 concluded before May 15th of the same school year. The probationary 6 period may be extended into the following school year if the 7 probationer has five or more years of teaching experience and has a 8 comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating as of May 15th of 9 less than level 2. The establishment of a probationary period does not 10 11 adversely affect the contract status of an employee within the meaning 12 of RCW 28A.405.300. The purpose of the probationary period is to give 13 the employee opportunity to demonstrate improvements in his or her areas of deficiency. The establishment of the probationary period and 14 15 the giving of the notice to the employee of deficiency shall be by the school district superintendent and need not be submitted to the board 16 17 of directors for approval. During the probationary period the evaluator shall meet with the employee at least twice monthly to 18 19 supervise and make a written evaluation of the progress, if any, made by the employee. The evaluator may authorize one additional 20 21 certificated employee to evaluate the probationer and to aid the 22 employee in improving his or her areas of deficiency $((\dot{\tau}))$. Should the evaluator not authorize such additional evaluator, the probationer may 23 24 request that an additional certificated employee evaluator become part of the probationary process and this request must be implemented by 25 26 including an additional experienced evaluator assigned by the 27 educational service district in which the school district is located and selected from a list of evaluation specialists compiled by the 28 educational service district. Such additional certificated employee 29 30 shall be immune from any civil liability that might otherwise be incurred or imposed with regard to the good faith performance of such 31 32 evaluation. If a procedural error occurs in the implementation of a program _ for _ improvement, _ the _ error _ does _ not _ invalidate _ the 33 probationer's plan for improvement or evaluation activities unless the 34 error materially affects the effectiveness of the plan or the ability 35 36 to evaluate the probationer's performance. The probationer ((may)) <u>must</u> be removed from probation if he or she has demonstrated 37 improvement to the satisfaction of the ((principal)) evaluator in those 38

areas specifically detailed in his or her initial notice of deficiency 1 2 and subsequently detailed in his or her ((improvement)) program for improvement. A classroom teacher who has been transitioned to the 3 revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation 4 schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section must be 5 removed from probation if he or she has demonstrated improvement that 6 results in a new comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating 7 of level 2 or above for a provisional employee or a continuing contract 8 employee with five or fewer years of experience, or of level 3 or above 9 for a continuing contract employee with more than five years of 10 11 experience. Lack of necessary improvement during the established 12 probationary period, as specifically documented in writing with 13 notification to the probationer ((and shall)) constitutes grounds for a finding of probable cause under RCW 28A.405.300 or 28A.405.210. 14

((\(\frac{(b)}{c}\)) (c) When a continuing contract employee with five or more years of experience receives a comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating below level 2 for two consecutive years, the school district shall, within ten days of the completion of the second summative comprehensive evaluation or May 15th, whichever occurs first, implement the employee notification of discharge as provided in RCW 28A.405.300.

(d) Immediately following the completion of a probationary period that does not produce performance changes detailed in the initial notice of deficiencies and ((improvement)) program for improvement, the employee may be removed from his or her assignment and placed into an alternative assignment for the remainder of the school year. case of a classroom teacher who has been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section, the teacher may be removed from his or her assignment and placed into an alternative assignment for the remainder of the school year immediately following the completion of a probationary period that does not result in the <u>required _ comprehensive _ summative _ evaluation _ performance _ ratings</u> specified in (b) of this subsection. This reassignment may not displace another employee nor may it adversely affect the probationary employee's compensation or benefits for the remainder of the employee's contract year. If such reassignment is not possible, the district may,

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

at its option, place the employee on paid leave for the balance of the contract term.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

3435

3637

- (5) Every board of directors shall establish evaluative criteria and procedures for all superintendents, principals, and other administrators. It shall be the responsibility of the district superintendent or his or her designee to evaluate all administrators. Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, such evaluation shall be based on the administrative position job description. Such criteria, when applicable, shall include at least the following categories: Knowledge of, experience in, and training in recognizing good professional performance, capabilities and development; school administration and management; school finance; professional preparation and scholarship; effort toward improvement when needed; interest in pupils, employees, patrons and subjects taught in school; leadership; and ability and performance of evaluation of school personnel.
- (6)(a) Pursuant to the implementation schedule established by subsection (7)(b) of this section, every board of directors shall establish revised evaluative criteria and a four-level rating system for principals.
- (b) The minimum criteria shall include: (i) Creating a school culture that promotes the ongoing improvement of learning and teaching for students and staff; (ii) demonstrating commitment to closing the achievement gap; (iii) providing for school safety; (iv) leading the development, implementation, and evaluation of a data-driven plan for increasing student achievement, including the use of multiple student data elements; (v) assisting instructional staff with alignment of curriculum, instruction, and assessment with state and local district learning goals; (vi) monitoring, assisting, and evaluating effective instruction and assessment practices; (vii) managing both staff and student achievement resources to support and responsibilities; and (viii) partnering with the school community to promote student learning. Student growth data must be a substantial factor in evaluating the summative performance of the principal for at <u>least three of the evaluation criteria listed in this subsection.</u>
- (c) The four-level rating system used to evaluate the principal must describe performance along a continuum that indicates the extent to which the criteria have been met or exceeded. ((\text{When available}_{\text{-}}))

 The summative performance ratings shall be as follows: Level 1 -

- unsatisfactory; level 2 basic; level 3 proficient; and level 4 distinguished. A principal shall receive one of the four summative performance ratings for each of the minimum criteria in (b) of this subsection and one of the four summative performance ratings for the evaluation as a whole, which shall be the comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating.
 - (d) By December 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction shall adopt rules that provide descriptors for each of the summative performance ratings, based on the development work of pilot school districts under subsection (7) of this section. Any subsequent changes to the descriptors by the superintendent may only be made following consultation with a group broadly reflective of the parties represented in subsection (7)(a) of this section.
 - (e) By September 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction shall identify up to three preferred leadership frameworks that support the revised evaluation system. The leadership frameworks shall be research-based and establish definitions or rubrics for each of the four performance ratings for each evaluation criteria. Each school district shall adopt one of the preferred leadership frameworks and post the selection on the district's web site. The superintendent of public instruction shall establish a process for approving minor modifications or adaptations to a preferred leadership framework that may be proposed by a school district.
 - <u>(f)</u> Student growth data that is ((referenced)) relevant to the principal must be a factor in the evaluation process and must be based on multiple measures that can include classroom-based, school-based, district-based, and state-based tools. As used in this subsection, "student growth" means the change in student achievement between two points in time.
- 30 (g) <u>Input from building staff may also be included in the</u> 31 <u>evaluation process.</u>
- (h) For principals who have been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section, the following comprehensive summative evaluation performance ratings mean a principal's work is not judged satisfactory:
 - (i) Level 1; or

(ii) Level 2 if the principal has more than five years of experience in the principal role and if the level 2 comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating has been received for two consecutive years or for two years within a consecutive three-year time period.

1 2

- (7)(a) The superintendent of public instruction, in collaboration with state associations representing teachers, principals, administrators, school board members, and parents, to be known as the steering committee, shall create models for implementing the evaluation system criteria, student growth tools, professional development programs, and evaluator training for certificated classroom teachers and principals. Human resources specialists, professional development experts, and assessment experts must also be consulted. Due to the diversity of teaching assignments and the many developmental levels of students, classroom teachers and principals must be prominently represented in this work. The models must be available for use in the 2011-12 school year.
- (b) A new certificated classroom teacher evaluation system that implements the provisions of subsection (2) of this section and a new principal evaluation system that implements the provisions of subsection (6) of this section shall be phased-in beginning with the 2010-11 school year by districts identified in $((\langle e \rangle))$ (d) of this subsection and implemented in all school districts beginning with the 2013-14 school year.
- (c) Each school district board of directors shall adopt a schedule for implementation of the revised evaluation systems that transitions a portion of classroom teachers and principals in the district to the revised evaluation systems each year beginning no later than the 2013-14 school year, until all classroom teachers and principals are being evaluated under the revised evaluation systems no later than the 2015-16 school year. A school district is not precluded from completing the transition of all classroom teachers and principals to the revised evaluation systems before the 2015-16 school year. The schedule adopted under this subsection (7)(c) must provide that the following employees are transitioned to the revised evaluation systems beginning in the 2013-14 school year:
- (i) Classroom teachers who are provisional employees under RCW
 38 28A.405.220;

p. 9

- 1 <u>(ii) Classroom teachers who are on probation under subsection (4)</u> 2 of this section;
 - (iii) Principals in the first three consecutive school years of employment as a principal;
 - (iv) Principals whose work is not judged satisfactory in their most recent evaluation; and
 - (v) Principals previously employed as a principal by another school district in the state of Washington for three or more consecutive school years and in the first full year as a principal in the school district.
- (d) A set. of school districts shall be selected by 11 12 superintendent of public instruction to participate in a collaborative 13 process resulting in the development and piloting of new certificated 14 classroom teacher and principal evaluation systems during the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years. These school districts must be selected 15 based on: (i) The agreement of the local associations representing 16 17 classroom teachers and principals to collaborate with the district in this developmental work and (ii) the agreement to participate in the 18 full range of development and implementation activities, including: 19 Development of rubrics for the evaluation criteria and ratings in 20 21 subsections (2) and (6) of this section; identification of 22 development of appropriate multiple measures of student growth in subsections (2) and (6) of this section; development of appropriate 23 24 evaluation system forms; participation in professional development for 25 principals and classroom teachers regarding the content of the new evaluation participation in evaluator 26 system; training; and 27 participation in activities to evaluate the effectiveness of the new systems and support programs. The school districts must submit to the 28 office of the superintendent of public instruction data that is used in 29 evaluations and all district-collected student achievement, aptitude, 30 31 and growth data regardless of whether the data is used in evaluations. If the data is not available electronically, the district may submit it 32 in nonelectronic form. The superintendent of public instruction must 33 analyze the districts' use of student data in evaluations, including 34 35 examining the extent that student data is not used or is underutilized. 36 The superintendent of public instruction must also consult with 37 participating districts and stakeholders, recommend appropriate and 38 changes, address statewide implementation issues. The

4

5

6 7

8

9

- superintendent of public instruction shall report evaluation system 1 2 implementation status, evaluation data, and recommendations to appropriate committees of the legislature and governor by July 1, 2011, 3 and at the conclusion of the development phase by July 1, 2012. In the 4 July 1, 2011, report, the superintendent shall include recommendations 5 for whether a single statewide evaluation model should be adopted, 6 whether modified versions developed by school districts should be 7 8 subject to state approval, and what the criteria would be for determining if a school district's evaluation model meets or exceeds a 9 10 statewide model. The report shall also identify challenges posed by 11 requiring a state approval process.
 - (e)(i) The steering committee in subsection (7)(a) of this section and the pilot school districts in subsection (7)(d) of this section shall continue to examine implementation issues and refine tools for the new certificated classroom teacher evaluation system in subsection (2) of this section and the new principal evaluation system in subsection (6) of this section during the 2013-14 through 2015-16 implementation phase.

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

2930

31

32

33

- (ii) Particular attention shall be given to the following issues:
- (A) Developing a report for the legislature and governor, due by December 1, 2013, of best practices and recommendations regarding how teacher and principal evaluations and other appropriate elements shall inform school district human resource and personnel practices. The legislature and governor are provided the opportunity to review the report and recommendations during the 2014 legislative session;
- (B) Taking the new teacher and principal evaluation systems to scale and the use of best practices for statewide implementation;
- (C) Providing guidance regarding the use of student growth data to assure it is used responsibly and with integrity;
- (D) Refining evaluation system management tools, professional development programs, and evaluator training programs with an emphasis on developing rater reliability;
 - (E) Reviewing emerging research regarding teacher and principal evaluation systems and the development and implementation of evaluation systems in other states;
- 36 <u>(F) Reviewing the impact that variable demographic characteristics</u>
 37 <u>of students and schools have on the objectivity, reliability, validity,</u>
 38 and availability of student growth data; and

- (G) Developing recommendations regarding how teacher evaluations could inform state policies regarding the criteria for a teacher to obtain continuing contract status under RCW 28A.405.210. In developing these recommendations the experiences of school districts and teachers during the evaluation transition phase must be considered. Recommendations must be reported by July 1, 2016, to the legislature and the governor.
 - (iii) To support the tasks in (e)(ii) of this subsection, the superintendent of public instruction may contract with an independent research organization with expertise in educator evaluations and knowledge of the revised evaluation systems being implemented under this section.
 - (iv) The superintendent of public instruction shall monitor the statewide implementation of revised teacher and principal evaluation systems using data reported under RCW 28A.150.230 as well as periodic input from focus groups of administrators, principals, and teachers.
 - (v) The superintendent of public instruction shall submit reports detailing findings, emergent issues or trends, recommendations from the steering committee, and pilot school districts, and other recommendations, to enhance implementation and continuous improvement of the revised evaluation systems to appropriate committees of the legislature and the governor beginning July 1, 2013, and each July 1st thereafter for each year of the school district implementation transition period concluding with a report on December 1, 2016.
 - (8)(a) Beginning with the 2015-16 school year, evaluation results for certificated classroom teachers and principals must be used as one of multiple factors in making human resource and personnel decisions.

 Human resource decisions include, but are not limited to: Staff assignment, including the consideration of an agreement to an assignment by an appropriate teacher, principal, and superintendent; and reduction in force. Nothing in this section limits the ability to collectively bargain how the multiple factors shall be used in making human resource or personnel decisions, with the exception that evaluation results must be a factor.
- 35 <u>(b) The office of the superintendent of public instruction must</u>
 36 <u>report to the legislature and the governor regarding the school</u>
 37 <u>district implementation of the provisions of (a) of this subsection by</u>
 38 <u>December 1, 2017.</u>

(9) Each certificated classroom teacher and certificated support personnel shall have the opportunity for confidential conferences with his or her immediate supervisor on no less than two occasions in each school year. Such confidential conference shall have as its sole purpose the aiding of the administrator in his or her assessment of the employee's professional performance.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

33

34

35

3637

38

 $((rac{(+9)}{)})$ (10) The failure of any evaluator to evaluate or supervise or cause the evaluation or supervision of certificated classroom teachers and certificated support personnel or administrators in accordance with this section, as now or hereafter amended, when it is his or her specific assigned or delegated responsibility to do so, shall be sufficient cause for the nonrenewal of any such evaluator's contract under RCW 28A.405.210, or the discharge of such evaluator under RCW 28A.405.300.

(11) After a certificated classroom teacher $((\frac{10}{10}))$ certificated support personnel has four years of satisfactory evaluations under subsection (1) of this section ((or has received one of-the-two-top-ratings-for-four-years-under-subsection-(2)-of-this section)), a school district may use a short form of evaluation, a locally bargained evaluation emphasizing professional growth, evaluation under subsection (1) or (2) of this section, or any combination thereof. The short form of evaluation shall include either a thirty minute observation during the school year with a written summary or a final annual written evaluation based on the criteria in subsection (1) or (2) of this section and based on at least two observation periods during the school year totaling at least sixty minutes without a written summary of such observations being prepared. A locally bargained short-form evaluation emphasizing professional growth must provide that the professional growth activity conducted by the certificated classroom teacher be specifically linked to one or more of the certificated classroom teacher evaluation criteria. However, the evaluation process set forth in subsection (1) or (2) of this section shall be followed at least once every three years unless this time is extended by a local school district under the bargaining process set forth in chapter 41.59 RCW. The employee or evaluator may require that the evaluation process set forth in subsection (1) or (2) of this section be conducted in any given school year. No evaluation other than the evaluation authorized under subsection (1) or (2) of

- 1 this section may be used as a basis for determining that an employee's
- 2 work is not satisfactory under subsection (1) or (2) of this section or
- 3 as probable cause for the nonrenewal of an employee's contract under
- 4 RCW 28A.405.210 unless an evaluation process developed under chapter
- 5 41.59 RCW determines otherwise. <u>The provisions of this subsection</u>
- 6 apply to certificated classroom teachers only until the teacher has
- 7 been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the
- 8 <u>district_implementation_schedule_adopted_under_subsection_(7)(c)_of</u>
- 9 this section.
- 10 (12) All certificated classroom teachers and principals who have
- 11 <u>been transitioned to the revised evaluation systems pursuant to the</u>
- 12 <u>district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of</u>
- 13 this section must receive annual performance evaluations as provided in
- 14 this subsection:
- 15 <u>(a) All classroom teachers and principals shall receive a</u>
- 16 comprehensive summative evaluation at least once every four years. A
- 17 <u>comprehensive summative evaluation assesses all eight evaluation</u>
- 18 <u>criteria and all criteria contribute to the comprehensive summative</u>
- 19 <u>evaluation performance rating.</u>
- 20 (b) The following categories of classroom teachers and principals
- 21 <u>shall receive an annual comprehensive summative evaluation:</u>
- 22 <u>(i) Classroom teachers who are provisional employees under RCW</u>
- 23 <u>28A.405.220;</u>
- 24 <u>(ii) Principals in the first three consecutive school years of</u>
- 25 employment as a principal;
- 26 <u>(iii) Principals previously employed as a principal by another</u>
- 27 <u>school_district_in_the_state_of_Washington_for_three_or_more</u>
- 28 consecutive school years and in the first full year as a principal in
- 29 the school district; and
- 30 <u>(iv) Any classroom teacher or principal who received a</u>
- 31 <u>comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating of level 1 or</u>
- 32 level 2 in the previous school year.
- 33 (c)(i) In the years when a comprehensive summative evaluation is
- 34 <u>not required, classroom teachers and principals who received a</u>
- 35 <u>comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating of level 3 or</u>
- 36 above in the previous school year are required to complete a focused
- 37 evaluation. A focused evaluation includes an assessment of one of the

- 1 eight criteria selected for a performance rating plus professional
 2 growth activities specifically linked to the selected criteria.
- (ii) The selected criteria must be approved by the teacher's or principal's evaluator and may have been identified in a previous comprehensive summative evaluation as benefiting from additional attention. A group of teachers may focus on the same evaluation criteria and share professional growth activities. A group of principals may focus on the same evaluation criteria and share professional growth activities.
- (iii) The evaluator must assign a comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating for the focused evaluation using the methodology adopted by the superintendent of public instruction for the instructional or leadership framework being used.

15

- (iv) A teacher or principal may be transferred from a focused evaluation to a comprehensive summative evaluation at the request of the teacher or principal, or at the direction of the teacher's or principal's evaluator.
- 18 <u>(v) Due to the importance of instructional leadership and assuring</u>
 19 <u>rater agreement among evaluators, particularly those evaluating teacher</u>
 20 <u>performance, school districts are encouraged to conduct comprehensive</u>
 21 summative evaluations of principal performance on an annual basis.
- 22 <u>(vi) A classroom teacher or principal may apply the focused</u>
 23 <u>evaluation professional growth activities toward the professional</u>
 24 <u>growth plan for professional certificate renewal as required by the</u>
 25 professional educator standards board.
- 26 <u>(13) Each school district is encouraged to acknowledge and</u>
 27 <u>recognize classroom teachers and principals who have attained level 4 distinguished performance ratings.</u>
- 29 **Sec. 2.** RCW 28A.405.120 and 1995 c 335 s 401 are each amended to 30 read as follows:
- 31 <u>(1)</u> School districts shall require each administrator, each 32 principal, or other supervisory personnel who has responsibility for 33 evaluating classroom teachers <u>or principals</u> to have training in 34 evaluation procedures.
- 35 (2) Before school district implementation of the revised evaluation 36 systems required under RCW 28A.405.100, principals and administrators

- 1 <u>who have evaluation responsibilities must engage in professional</u>
- 2 development designed to implement the revised systems and maximize
- 3 rater agreement.

16 17

18

25

- 4 **Sec. 3.** RCW 28A.405.130 and 1985 c 420 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:
- 6 (1) No administrator, principal, or other supervisory personnel may 7 evaluate a teacher without having received training in evaluation 8 procedures.
- 9 (2) Before evaluating classroom teachers using the evaluation
 10 systems required under RCW 28A.405.100, principals and administrators
 11 must engage in professional development designed to implement the
 12 revised systems and maximize rater agreement.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 28A.410 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1)(a) After August 31, 2013, candidates for a residency principal certificate must have demonstrated knowledge of teacher evaluation research and Washington's evaluation requirements and successfully completed opportunities to practice teacher evaluation skills.
- 19 (b) At a minimum, principal preparation programs must address the 20 following knowledge and skills related to evaluations:
- (i) Examination of Washington teacher and principal evaluation criteria, and four-tiered performance rating system, and the preferred instructional and leadership frameworks used to describe the evaluation criteria;
 - (ii) Classroom observations;
- 26 (iii) The use of student growth data and multiple measures of 27 performance;
 - (iv) Evaluation conferencing;
- 29 (v) Development of classroom teacher and principal support plans 30 resulting from an evaluation; and
- (vi) Use of an online tool to manage the collection of observation notes, teacher and principal-submitted materials, and other information related to the conduct of the evaluation.
- 34 (2) Beginning September 1, 2016, the professional educator 35 standards board shall incorporate in-service training or continuing 36 education on the revised teacher and principal evaluation systems under

- 1 RCW 28A.405.100 as a requirement for renewal of continuing or
- 2 professional level certificates, including requiring knowledge and
- 3 competencies in teacher and principal evaluation systems as an aspect
- 4 of professional growth plans used for certificate renewal.

8

9

10 11

12

1314

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

2930

31

32

33

34

- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 5.** A new section is added to chapter 28A.405 6 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) Subject to funds appropriated for this purpose, the office of the superintendent of public instruction must develop and make available a professional development program to support the implementation of the evaluation systems required by RCW 28A.405.100. The program components may be organized into professional development modules for principals, administrators, and teachers. The professional development program shall include a comprehensive online training package.
- 15 (2) The training program must include, but not be limited to, the following topics:
 - (a) Introduction of the evaluation criteria for teachers and principals and the four-level rating system;
 - (b) Orientation to and use of instructional frameworks;
 - (c) Orientation to and use of the leadership frameworks;
 - (d) Best practices in developing and using data in the evaluation systems, including multiple measures, student growth data, classroom observations, and other measures and evidence;
 - (e) Strategies for achieving maximum rater agreement;
 - (f) Evaluator feedback protocols in the evaluation systems;
 - (g) Examples of high quality teaching and leadership; and
- 27 (h) Methods to link the evaluation process to ongoing educator 28 professional development.
 - (3) To the maximum extent feasible, the professional development program must incorporate or adapt existing online training or curriculum, including securing materials or curriculum under contract or purchase agreements within available funds. Multiple modes of instruction should be incorporated including videos of classroom teaching, participatory exercises, and other engaging combinations of online audio, video, and print presentation.
- 36 (4) The professional development program must be developed in modules that allow:

- 1 (a) Access to material over a reasonable number of training 2 sessions;
 - (b) Delivery in person or online; and
 - (c) Use in a self-directed manner.

- (5) The office of the superintendent of public instruction must maintain a web site that includes the online professional development materials along with sample evaluation forms and templates, links to relevant research on evaluation and on high quality teaching and leadership, samples of contract and collective bargaining language on key topics, examples of multiple measures of teacher and principal performance, suggestions for data to measure student growth, and other tools that will assist school districts in implementing the revised evaluation systems.
 - (6) The office of the superintendent of public instruction must identify the number of in-service training hours associated with each professional development module and develop a way for users to document their completion of the training. Documented completion of the training under this section is considered approved in-service training for the purposes of RCW 28A.415.020.
 - (7) The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall periodically update the modules to reflect new topics and research on performance evaluation so that the training serves as an ongoing source of continuing education and professional development.
- (8) The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall work with the educational service districts to provide clearinghouse services for the identification and publication of professional development opportunities for teachers and principals that align with performance evaluation criteria.
- **Sec. 6.** RCW 28A.415.023 and 2011 1st sp.s. c 18 s 6 are each 30 amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Credits earned by certificated instructional staff after September 1, 1995, shall be eligible for application to the salary schedule developed by the legislative evaluation and accountability program committee only if the course content:
- 35 (a) Is consistent with a school-based plan for mastery of student 36 learning goals as referenced in RCW 28A.655.110, the annual school 37 performance report, for the school in which the individual is assigned;

1 (b) Pertains to the individual's current assignment or expected 2 assignment for the subsequent school year;

- (c) Is necessary to obtain an endorsement as prescribed by the Washington professional educator standards board;
- (d) Is specifically required to obtain advanced levels of certification;
- (e) Is included in a college or university degree program that pertains to the individual's current assignment, or potential future assignment, as a certified instructional staff; ((or))
- (f) Addresses research-based assessment and instructional strategies for students with dyslexia, dysgraphia, and language disabilities when addressing learning goal one under RCW 28A.150.210, as applicable and appropriate for individual certificated instructional staff; or
- 15 (g) Pertains to the revised teacher evaluation system under RCW
 16 28A.405.100, including the professional development training provided
 17 in section 5 of this act.
 - (2) For the purpose of this section, "credits" mean college quarter hour credits and equivalent credits for approved in-service, approved continuing education, or approved internship hours computed in accordance with RCW 28A.415.020.
 - (3) The superintendent of public instruction shall adopt rules and standards consistent with the limits established by this section for certificated instructional staff.
 - (4) For the 2011-12 and 2012-13 school years, application of credits or credit equivalents earned under this section after October 1, 2010, to the salary schedule developed by the legislative evaluation and accountability program committee is subject to any conditions or limitations contained in the omnibus operating appropriations act.
- **Sec. 7.** RCW 28A.405.220 and 2010 c 235 s 203 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of RCW 28A.405.210, every person employed by a school district in a teaching or other nonsupervisory certificated position shall be subject to nonrenewal of employment contract as provided in this section during the first three years of employment by such district, unless: (a) The employee has previously completed at least two years of certificated employment in another

- school district in the state of Washington, in which case the employee 1 2 shall be subject to nonrenewal of employment contract pursuant to this section during the first year of employment with the new district; or 3 (b) the employee has received an evaluation rating below level 2 on the 4 four-level rating system established under RCW 28A.405.100 during the 5 third year of employment, in which case the employee shall remain 6 7 subject to the nonrenewal of the employment contract until the employee receives a level 2 rating; or (c) the school district superintendent 8 may make a determination to remove an employee from provisional status 9 10 if the employee has received one of the top two evaluation ratings during the second year of employment by the district. Employees as 11 12 defined in this section shall hereinafter be referred to as 13 "provisional employees."
 - In the event the superintendent of the school district determines that the employment contract of any provisional employee should not be renewed by the district for the next ensuing term such provisional employee shall be notified thereof in writing on or before May 15th preceding the commencement of such school term, or if the omnibus appropriations act has not passed the legislature by May 15th, then notification shall be no later than June 15th, which notification shall state the reason or reasons for such determination. Such notice shall be served upon the provisional employee personally, or by certified or registered mail, or by leaving a copy of the notice at the place of his or her usual abode with some person of suitable age and determination discretion then resident therein. The superintendent shall be subject to the evaluation requirements of RCW 28A.405.100.
 - (3) Every such provisional employee so notified, at his or her request made in writing and filed with the superintendent of the district within ten days after receiving such notice, shall be given the opportunity to meet informally with the superintendent for the purpose of requesting the superintendent to reconsider his or her decision. Such meeting shall be held no later than ten days following the receipt of such request, and the provisional employee shall be given written notice of the date, time and place of meeting at least three days prior thereto. At such meeting the provisional employee shall be given the opportunity to refute any facts upon which the

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

3132

33

34

3536

superintendent's determination was based and to make any argument in support of his or her request for reconsideration.

- (4) Within ten days following the meeting with the provisional employee, the superintendent shall either reinstate the provisional employee or shall submit to the school district board of directors for consideration at its next regular meeting a written report recommending that the employment contract of the provisional employee be nonrenewed and stating the reason or reasons therefor. A copy of such report shall be delivered to the provisional employee at least three days prior to the scheduled meeting of the board of directors. In taking action upon the recommendation of the superintendent, the board of directors shall consider any written communication which the provisional employee may file with the secretary of the board at any time prior to that meeting.
- (5) The board of directors shall notify the provisional employee in writing of its final decision within ten days following the meeting at which the superintendent's recommendation was considered. The decision of the board of directors to nonrenew the contract of a provisional employee shall be final and not subject to appeal.
- (6) This section applies to any person employed by a school district in a teaching or other nonsupervisory certificated position after June 25, 1976. This section provides the exclusive means for nonrenewing the employment contract of a provisional employee and no other provision of law shall be applicable thereto, including, without limitation, RCW 28A.405.210 and chapter 28A.645 RCW.

Passed by the Senate February 14, 2012. Passed by the House February 29, 2012. Approved by the Governor March 8, 2012. Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 8, 2012.